

Omega-3 fatty acids & health: Past, present & future

Philip C. Calder Professor of Nutritional Immunology University of Southampton (pcc@soton.ac.uk)

> **NHS** National Institute for Health Research

The NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre in nutrition is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) and is a partnership between University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Southampton





Health&Fitness Fish oil saved my marriage



Evening Blandard Tuesday 2 April 2000 BH

Very long chain ("marine") ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids



Pathway of biosynthesis of EPA, DPA and DHA







Docosapentaenoic acid (DPA; 22:5*n*−3) Elongase ∆6-desaturase

 $-\beta$ -oxidation

Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA; 22:6n-3)

EPA, DPA and especially DHA are poorly synthesised in humans

-> dietary sources are important



Long chain ω -3 PUFA content of fish

	EPA (g	DPA /100 g food)	DHA	Total g/portion
Cod	0.08	0.01	0.16	0.30
Haddock	0.05	0.01	0.10	0.19
Herring	0.51	0.11	0.69	1.56
Mackerel	0.71	0.12	1.10	3.09
Salmon	0.55	0.14	0.86	1.55
Crab	0.47	0.08	0.45	0.85
Prawns	0.06	0.01	0.04	0.06



Effect on EPA + DHA intake by eating oily fish or taking supplements



In most cells and tissues the content of EPA and DHA is low (especially when compared with the content of ω-6 PUFAs)

ω-6 and ω-3 PUFA contents of phospholipids of human white (mononuclear) cells

% of total fatty acids

Linoleic acid (18:2ω-6)	10
DGLA (20:3ω-6)	1.5
Arachidonic acid (20:4ω-6)	20
α-Linolenic acid (18:3ω-3)	< 0.5
EPA	1.0
DHA	2.5

But increasing EPA+DHA intake increases the EPA and DHA content of blood lipids, blood cells, and many tissues including liver, heart & skeletal muscle – effect is dose, time and tissue dependent



Effect of fish consumption on EPA and DHA status

- Cross-sectional
- N = 163
- Male & female
- Aged 20 to 80 y
- Fish intake = Self reported tuna + nonfried fish
- Erythrocytes
 (Omega-3 index = EPA+DHA)



Incorporation of eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids into lipid pools when given as supplements providing doses equivalent to typical intakes of oily fish¹⁻⁴

Lucy M Browning, Celia G Walker, Adrian P Mander, Annette L West, Jackie Madden, Joanna M Gambell, Stephen Young, Laura Wang, Susan A Jebb, and Philip C Calder

Am J Clin Nutr 2012;96:748-58.



Analysed the fatty acid composition of:

- plasma PC, TAG, CE and NEFA
- platelets
- erythrocytes
- mononuclear cells
- buccal cells
- adipose tissue

From 200 subjects at 9 time points over one year

From 200 subjects at three time points over one year





=> The amount of EPA and DHA being consumed is strongly reflected in the amounts of those fatty acids in blood, cell and tissue lipids What is the health impact of increased intake (& status) of marine ω-3 PUFAs?



The Greenland Inuit ("Eskimo")







How could this be? -> The Inuit diet??

- Ate lots of seal meat, whale meat, whale blubber, fish
- -> Very high intake
 of ω-3 PUFAs





Prospective study of ω-3 PUFA intake and CHD outcomes: The Nurse's Health Study



Quintile of marine ω -3 fatty acid intake

Hu et al. (2002) J. Am. Med. Assoc. 287, 1815-1821

Prospective study of \omega-3 PUFA status and sudden death: The Physician's Health Study Relative risk of sudden death Adjusted for age & smoking 1 Also adjusted for BMI, diabetes, **8.0** hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, alcohol, exercise & family history of 0.6 MI 0.4 0.2 0 3 2 1 4 Quartile of whole blood marine ω -3 PUFAs

Albert et al. (2002) New Engl. J. Med. 346, 1113-1118

Review

Annals of Internal Medicine

Association of Dietary, Circulating, and Supplement Fatty Acids With Coronary Risk

A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Rajiv Chowdhury, MD, PhD; Samantha Warnakula, MPhil*; Setor Kunutsor, MD, MSt*; Francesca Crowe, PhD; Heather A. Ward, PhD; Laura Johnson, PhD; Oscar H. Franco, MD, PhD; Adam S. Butterworth, PhD; Nita G. Forouhi, MRCP, PhD; Simon G. Thompson, FMedSci; Kay-Tee Khaw, FMedSci; Dariush Mozaffarian, MD, DrPH; John Danesh, FRCP*; and Emanuele DI Angelantonio, MD, PhD*

Ann Intern Med. 2014;160:398-406.

03 (0.98–1.07)
00 (0.91–1.10)
99 (0,86–1,14)
87 (0,78–0,97)
98 (0,90–1,06)
16 (1.06–1.27)
9

Inculating Blood Fatty Acid Composition	Studios a	Participants o	Events a	DD (05% CI)*
	studies, n	Tarticipants, II	Litence, II	RR (35)/8 CI/
tal m-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids				
18:3n-3, α-Linolenic	8	14 945	3426	0.93 (0.83-1.03)
Total long-chain ©-3	4	10 558	2753	0.84 (0.63-1.11)
20:5n-3, Elcosapentaenoic	13	23 065	4624	0.78 (0.65–0.94)
22:6n-3, Docosahexaenoic	13	23 065	4624	0.79 (0.67-0.93)
20:5n-3, Elcosapentaenoic + 22:6n-3, Docosahexaenoic	13	20 809	4073	0.75 (0.62-0.89)
22:5n-3, Docosapentaenoic (clupanodonic)	4	7155	2565	0.64 (0.47-0.89

CVD : Classic and emerging risk factors

<u>CLASSIC:</u> Age Sex Family history (genetics)

Smoking

EMERGING:

High serum triglycerides Elevated post-prandial lipaemia Endothelial dysfunction Tendency towards thrombosis Inflammation

High alcohol consumption High blood pressure Diabetes Obesity Lack of physical activity **Elevated plasma homocysteine**

Poor antioxidant status

High serum (LDL) cholesterol

CVD : Classic and emerging risk factors

<u>CLASSIC:</u> Age Sex Family history (genetics)

Smoking

High alcohol consumption High blood pressure Diabetes Obesity Lack of physical activity

High serum (LDL) cholesterol

EMERGING:

High serum triglycerides Elevated post-prandial lipaemia Endothelial dysfunction Tendency towards thrombosis Inflammation

Elevated plasma homocysteine

Poor antioxidant status



= Improved by n-3 PUFAs

Marine ω-3 fatty acids most likely slow or limit atherosclerosis due to risk factor reduction

..... But marine ω-3 fatty acids also reduce risk of coronary events in people with advanced atherosclerosis Dietary supplementation with n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and vitamin E after myocardial infarction: results of the GISSI-Prevenzione trial

GISSI Prevenzione Investigators (1999) Lancet 354, 447-455



Marchioli et al. (2002) Circulation 105, 1897-1903

Possible mechanisms for prevention of non-fatal and fatal events with marine ω -3 fatty acids

- **1. Decreased cardiac arrhythmias**
- 2. Decreased thrombosis
- 3. Decreased inflammation

Atherosclerotic plaque instability is driven by vessel wall inflammation



Association of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids with stability of atherosclerotic plaques: a randomised controlled trial

Frank Thies, Jennifer M C Garry, Parveen Yaqoob, Kittipan Rerkasem, Jennifer Williams, Cliff P Shearman, Patrick J Gallagher, Philip C Calder, Robert F Grimble

Lancet 2003; 361: 477-85

- RCT of ω-3 fatty acids (1.6 g EPA+DHA per day) in patients awaiting carotid endarterectomy (n = 180)
- Median time of treatment: 42 days
- Patients given ω-3 fatty acids have higher ω-3 fatty acid content in plaques
- Patients given ω-3 fatty acids have fewer macrophages within the plaque



Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) released from macrophages, foam cells and smooth muscle cells degrade the plaque cap making it more vulnerable to rupture



Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) from highly concentrated n-3 fatty acid ethyl esters is incorporated into advanced atherosclerotic plaques and higher plaque EPA is associated with decreased plaque inflammation and increased stability

Abbie L. Cawood^a, Ren Ding^a, Frances L. Napper^a, Ruth H. Young^a, Jennifer A. Williams^a, Matthew J.A. Ward^a, Ola Gudmundsen^b, Runar Vige^c, Simon P.K. Payne^d, Shu Ye^a, Ciff P. Shearman^a, Patrick J. Gallagher^a, Robert F. Grimble^a, Philip C. Calder^{a,*}

Atherosclerosis 212 (2010) 252-259



N-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids in Coronary Heart Disease: A Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials

Heiner C. Bucher, MD, MPH, Peter Hengstler, MD, Christian Schindler, PhD, Gabriela Meier, MD

Am. J. Med. (2002) 112, 298-304

Considered: Eleven intervention trials with marine
ω-3 PUFAs and with follow-up of at least 6 months
(2 dietary studies; 9 supplementation studies)

N = 7835 in control group; 7951 in ω -3 PUFA group

Findings: Risk of nonfatal MI = 0.8 (P = 0.16); of fatal MI = 0.7 (P < 0.001); of sudden death = 0.7 (P < 0.01); of mortality = 0.8 (P < 0.001)



Conclusion: "dietary and non-dietary intake of ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids reduces overall mortality, mortality due to myocardial infarction, and sudden death in patients with coronary heart disease"

Effect of Different Antilipidemic Agents and Diets on Mortality

A Systematic Review

Marco Studer, MD; Matthias Briel, MD; Bernd Leimenstoll, MD; Tracy R. Glass, MSc; Heiner C. Bucher, MD, MPH

Arch. Int. Med. (2005) 165, 725-730

Considered: 97 intervention trials with lipid lowering strategies (incl. long chain ω-3 PUFAs) and with follow-up of at least 6 months (for ω-3 PUFAs considered 14 studies)

N = 10138 in control group; 10122 in ω -3 PUFA group

Findings for ω -3 PUFA: Risk of cardiac mortality = 0.68 (P < 0.001); of mortality = 0.77 (P = 0.01)





Conclusion "statins and ω-3 fatty acids are the most favourable lipid lowering interventions with reduced risks of overall and cardiac mortality" But new studies published from 2010 onwards have challenged this view (But these new studies have been criticised)



Association Between Omega-3 Fatty Acid Supplementation and Risk of Major Cardiovascular Disease Events

A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Evangelos C. Rizos, MD, PhD

Evangelia E. Ntzani, MD, PhD

Eftychia Bika, MD

Michael S. Kostapanos, MD

Moses S. Elisaf, MD, PhD, FASA, FRSH

JAMA. 2012;308(10):1024-1033





Atherosclerosis Supplements 14 (2013) 243-251

ATHEROSCLEROSIS SUPPLEMENTS

www.elsevier.com/locate/atherosclerosis

Long-term effect of high dose omega-3 fatty acid supplementation for secondary prevention of cardiovascular outcomes: A meta-analysis of randomized, double blind, placebo controlled trials

Manuela Casula^{a,*}, Davide Soranna^b, Alberico L. Catapano^{a,c}, Giovanni Corrao^{b,*}

All cause mortality

	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Source	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Sacks.1995 [39]		0.2%	0.30 (0.01.7.11)
Marchioli 1999 [32]		65.3%	0.80 [0.67, 0.94]
Von Schascky 1999 [41]		0.3%	0.50 (0.05, 5.39)
Nilson 2001 [42]		2 7%	1 02 [0 44 2 36]
Last 2005 [42]		2.00	4 00 00 64 0 041
Leai,2005 [43]		3.270	1.09 [0.51, 2.34]
Raili,2005 [44]		1.070	0.40 [0.13, 1.23]
Svensson,2006 [45]		7.9%	1.12 [0.69, 1.83]
Rauch,2010 [46]	-	17.9%	1.25 [0.90, 1.72]
Macchia,2013 [47]		1.1%	0.80 [0.21, 3.00]
Total (95% CI)	•	100.0%	0.89 [0.78, 1.02]
$Chi^2 = 9.63 \text{ df} = 8 (P = 0.29); P = 1.7\%$			
	0.02 0.1 1 10 50		
	Favours Ornega-3 Favours Placebo		
Cardiac death	Dick Patio		Diek Datio
Source	N Eixed 05% Cl	Moight	N Eived 05% Cl
Source	IV, FIXed, 95% CI	weight 0.4%	0.00/0.01.7.141
Sacks,1995 [39]		0.4%	0.30 [0.01, 7.11]
Singn,1997 [40]		5.6%	0.52 [0.22, 1.21]
Marchioli,1999 [32]		72.6%	0.65 [0.51, 0.82]
Von Schascky,1999 [41]		0.4%	0.33 [0.01, 8.02]
Nilsen,2001 [42]		4.2%	1.02 [0.38, 2.71]
Leaf,2005 [43]	_ 	5.0%	1.01 [0.41, 2.49]
Raitt,2005 [44]		1.6%	0.40 [0.08, 2.01]
Yokoyama,2007 [33]	-+-	10.1%	0.87 [0.46, 1.64]
Total (05% CD		100.0%	0 60 10 56 0 021
		100.0%	0.08 [0.50, 0.85]
Chi*= 3.35, dt = 7 (P = 0.85); P = 0%	0.02 0.1 1 10 50		
	Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo		
Sudden death			
	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Source	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Olamb 4007 [40]			0.24 [0.03, 1.96]
Singn,1997 [40]		1.5%	
Marchioli,1997 [40] Marchioli,1999 [32]		1.5% 63.2%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76]
Singn,1997 [40] Marchioli,1999 [32] Raitt,2005 [44]		1.5% 63.2% 0.7% {	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85]
Singn, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33]		1.5% 63.2% 0.7% { 11.0%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19]
Singn,1997 [40] Marchioli,1999 [32] Raitt,2005 [44] Yokoyama,2007 [33] Rauch,2010 [46]		1.5% 63.2% 0.7% 11.0% 23.6%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60]
Singn, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46]		1.5% 63.2% 0.7% 5 11.0% 23.6%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60]
Singn, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% CI)		1.5% 63.2% 0.7% 5 11.0% 23.6%	0.55 (0.40, 0.76) 5.00 (0.24, 102.85) 1.02 (0.47, 2.19) 0.95 (0.56, 1.60) 0.67 (0.52, 0.87)
Singn,1997 [40] Marchioli,1999 [32] Raitt,2005 [44] Yokoyama,2007 [33] Rauch,2010 [46] Total (95% CI) Chi ^a = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); i ^a = 42%		1.5% 63.2% 0.7% 5 11.0% 23.6%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87]
Singn, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% CI) Chi ² = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); I ² = 42%	0.02 0.1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo	1.5% 63.2% 0.7% 11.0% 23.6%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87]
Singn, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% CI) Chi ² = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); I ² = 42% Myocardial infarction	0.02 0.1 1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo	1.5% 63.2% 0.7% { 11.0% 23.6%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87]
Marchioli, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% CI) Chi ² = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); I ² = 42% Myocardial infarction Source	0.02 0.1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo Risk Ratio IV. Fixed, 95% Cl	1.5% 63.2% 0.7% (11.0% 23.6% 100.0%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87] Risk Ratio IV. Fixed, 95% CI
Singn, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% CI) Chi ² = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); I ² = 42% Myocardial infarction Source Sacks 1995 [39]	0.02 0.1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	1.5% 63.2% 0.7% { 11.0% 23.6% 100.0% Weight	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87] Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI 0.45 [0.04 4 71]
Marchioli,1999 [20] Raitt,2005 [44] Yokoyama,2007 [33] Rauch,2010 [46] Total (95% Cl) Chi ² = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); l ² = 42% Myocardial infarction Source Sacks,1995 [39] Singh 1997 [40]	0.02 0.1 1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	1.5% 63.2% 0.7% 5 11.0% 23.6% 100.0% Weight 0.5% 3.5%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87] Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI 0.45 [0.04, 4.71] 0.51 [0.23, 1.28]
Singn, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% Cl) Chi ^a = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); l ^a = 42% Myocardial infarction Source Sacks, 1995 [39] Singh, 1997 [40] Marchioli 1999 [32]	0.02 0.1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	1.5% 63.2% 11.0% 23.6% 100.0% Weight 0.5% 3.5%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87] Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI 0.45 [0.04, 4.71] 0.51 [0.23, 1.28] 0.75 [0.62, 0.00]
Singn, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% Cl) Chi² = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); l² = 42% Myocardial infarction Source Sacks, 1995 [39] Singh, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Von Scheerku 1999 [41]	0.02 0.1 1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	1.5% 63.2% 0.7% 11.0% 23.6% 100.0% Weight 0.5% 3.5% 74.6%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87] Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI 0.45 [0.04, 4.71] 0.51 [0.23, 1.28] 0.75 [0.62, 0.90] 0.25 [0.02, 2.49] 0.51 [0.2, 2.49]
Singh, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% CI) Chi ² = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); I ² = 42% Myocardial infarction Source Sacks, 1995 [39] Singh, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Von Schascky, 1999 [41] billioen 2005 [43]	0.02 0.1 1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	1.5% 63.2% 11.0% 23.6% 100.0% Weight 0.5% 3.5% 74.6% 0.5%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87] Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI 0.45 [0.04, 4.71] 0.51 [0.23, 1.28] 0.75 [0.62, 0.90] 0.25 [0.03, 2.18] 4.42 [0.3, 2.18]
Singh, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% CI) Chi ^a = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); I ^a = 42% Myocardial infarction Source Sacks, 1995 [39] Singh, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Von Schascky, 1999 [41] Nilsen, 2001 [42] Paim 2005 [44]	0.02 0.1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	1.5% 63.2% (1.0% 23.6% 100.0% Weight 0.5% 3.5% 74.6% 0.5% 5.9%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87] Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI 0.45 [0.04, 4.71] 0.51 [0.23, 1.28] 0.75 [0.62, 0.90] 0.25 [0.03, 2.18] 1.43 [0.74, 2.78] 0.92 [0.92 [0.17]
Singn, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% Cl) Chi ² = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); l ² = 42% Myocardial infarction <u>Source</u> Sacks, 1995 [39] Singh, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Von Schascky, 1999 [41] Nilsen, 2001 [42] Raitt, 2005 [44]	0.02 0.1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	1.5% 63.2% 11.0% 23.6% 100.0% Weight 0.5% 3.5% 74.6% 0.5% 0.5%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87] Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI 0.45 [0.04, 4.71] 0.51 [0.23, 1.28] 0.75 [0.62, 0.90] 0.25 [0.03, 2.18] 1.43 [0.74, 2.78] 0.33 [0.04, 3.15]
Singn, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% Cl) Chi* = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); I* = 42% Myocardial infarction Source Sacks, 1995 [39] Singh, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Yon Schascky, 1999 [41] Nilsen, 2001 [42] Raitt, 2005 [44] Svensson, 2006 [45]	0.02 0.1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	1.5% 63.2% 0.7% 11.0% 23.6% 100.0% Weight 0.5% 3.5% 74.6% 0.5% 5.9% 0.5% 2.1%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87] Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI 0.45 [0.04, 4.71] 0.51 [0.23, 1.28] 0.75 [0.62, 0.90] 0.25 [0.03, 2.18] 1.43 [0.74, 2.78] 0.33 [0.04, 3.15] 0.30 [0.10, 0.91]
Singh, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% Cl) Chi [#] = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); I [#] = 42% Myocardial infarction Source Sacks, 1995 [39] Singh, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Von Schascky, 1999 [41] Nilsen, 2001 [42] Raitt, 2005 [44] Svensson, 2006 [45] Yokoyama, 2007 [33]	0.02 0.1 1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	1.5% 63.2% 11.0% 23.6% 100.0% 0.5% 3.5% 74.6% 0.5% 5.9% 0.5% 2.1% 12.0%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87] Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI 0.45 [0.04, 4.71] 0.51 [0.23, 1.28] 0.75 [0.62, 0.90] 0.25 [0.03, 2.18] 1.43 [0.74, 2.78] 0.33 [0.44, 3.15] 0.30 [0.47, 1.19]
Singn, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% Cl) Chi* = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); I* = 42% Myocardial infarction Source Sacks, 1995 [39] Singh, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Von Schascky, 1999 [41] Nilsen, 2001 [42] Raitt, 2005 [44] Svensson, 2006 [45] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Macchia, 2013 [47]	0.02 0.1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	1.5% 63.2% 11.0% 23.6% 100.0% Weight 0.5% 3.5% 74.6% 0.5% 5.9% 0.5% 2.1% 12.0% 0.3%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87] Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI 0.45 [0.04, 4.71] 0.51 [0.23, 1.28] 0.75 [0.62, 0.90] 0.25 [0.03, 2.18] 1.43 [0.74, 2.78] 0.33 [0.04, 3.15] 0.30 [0.10, 0.91] 0.75 [0.47, 1.19] 1.10 [0.07, 17.90]
Singn, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% CI) Chi™ = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); I™ = 42% Myocardial infarction Source Sacks, 1995 [39] Singh, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Von Schascky, 1999 [41] Nilsen, 2001 [42] Raitt, 2005 [44] Svensson, 2006 [45] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Macchia, 2013 [47]	0.02 0.1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	1.5% 63.2% 63.2% 11.0% 23.6% 100.0% Weight 0.5% 3.5% 74.6% 0.5% 5.9% 0.5% 2.1% 12.0% 0.3%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87] Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI 0.45 [0.04, 4.71] 0.51 [0.23, 1.28] 0.75 [0.62, 0.90] 0.25 [0.03, 2.18] 1.43 [0.74, 2.78] 0.33 [0.04, 3.15] 0.30 [0.10, 0.91] 0.75 [0.47, 1.19] 1.10 [0.07, 17.90] 0.75 [0.47, 1.79]
Singn, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% CI) Chi [#] = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); I [#] = 42% Myocardial infarction Source Sacks, 1995 [39] Singh, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Von Schascky, 1999 [41] Nilsen, 2001 [42] Raitt, 2005 [44] Svensson, 2006 [45] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Macchia, 2013 [47] Total (95% CI) Chi#= 9.70, df = 9.49 = 0.26% #= 0.076	0.02 0.1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	1.5% 63.2% (1.0% 23.6% 100.0% Weight 0.5% 3.5% 74.6% 0.5% 5.9% 0.5% 2.1% 12.0% 0.3% 1400.0%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87] Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% C1 0.45 [0.04, 4.71] 0.51 [0.23, 1.28] 0.75 [0.62, 0.90] 0.25 [0.03, 2.18] 1.43 [0.74, 2.78] 0.33 [0.04, 3.15] 0.30 [0.10, 0.91] 0.75 [0.47, 1.19] 1.10 [0.07, 17.90] 0.75 [0.63, 0.88]
Singn, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Raitt, 2005 [44] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Rauch, 2010 [46] Total (95% Cl) Chi [#] = 6.91, df = 4 (P = 0.14); I [#] = 42% Myocardial infarction Source Sacks, 1995 [39] Singh, 1997 [40] Marchioli, 1999 [32] Von Schascky, 1999 [41] Nilsen, 2001 [42] Raitt, 2005 [44] Svensson, 2006 [45] Yokoyama, 2007 [33] Macchia, 2013 [47] Total (95% Cl) Chi [#] = 8.79, df = 8 (P = 0.36); I [#] = 9%	0.02 0.1 10 50 Favours Omega-3 Favours Placebo Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	1.5% 63.2% 11.0% 23.6% 100.0% Weight 0.5% 3.5% 74.6% 0.5% 5.9% 0.5% 2.1% 12.0% 0.3%	0.55 [0.40, 0.76] 5.00 [0.24, 102.85] 1.02 [0.47, 2.19] 0.95 [0.56, 1.60] 0.67 [0.52, 0.87] Risk Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI 0.45 [0.04, 4.71] 0.51 [0.23, 1.28] 0.75 [0.62, 0.90] 0.25 [0.03, 2.18] 1.43 [0.74, 2.78] 0.33 [0.04, 3.15] 0.30 [0.10, 0.91] 0.75 [0.47, 1.19] 1.10 [0.07, 17.90] 0.75 [0.63, 0.88]

Cardiac death 32% reduction

Sudden death 33% reduction

25% reduction

Μ

Clinical Therapeutics/Volume 35, Number 1, 2013

Omega-3 Fatty Acids and Mortality Outcome in Patients With and Without Type 2 Diabetes After Myocardial Infarction: A Retrospective, Matched-Cohort Study

Chris D. Poole, PhD¹; Julian P. Halcox, MD²; Sara Jenkins-Jones, MSc³; Emma S.M. Carr, PhD⁴; Mathias G. Schifflers, MD⁴; Kausik K. Ray, MD, MPhil⁵; and Craig J. Currie, PhD¹

- MI survivors
- 1 g omega-3 ethyl ester per day
- N = 2466 real patients being treated as part of routine care
- Matched untreated controls (n = 9712)
- 2002-2011
- Primary outcome: death

fatty acids.		
Parameter	Exposed	Nonexposed
All patients	2466	9712
No. of death events	243	1274
Total follow-up, y	6668	24,943
Deaths per 1000 person-years, no.	36.4	51.1
Crude relative risk (95% CI)	0.708 (0.602-0.833)	P < 0.0001
Patients with no previous diabetes	2140	8429
No. of death events	195	1016
Total follow-up, y	5882	22,066
Deaths per 1000 person-years, no.	33.2	46.0
Crude relative risk (95% CI)	0.724 (0.621-0.844)	P < 0.0001
Patients with previous type 2 diabetes	326	1283
No. of death events	48	258
Total follow-up, y	786	2878
Deaths per 1000 person-years, no.	61.1	89.7
Crude relative risk (95% CI)	0.686 (0.504-0.934)	<i>P</i> = 0.0166

Table III. Number of events and crude relative risk values for patients exposed and not exposed to omega-3 (n-3)

The benefits of marine ω-3 PUFAs go beyond cardiovascular health

Marine ω -3 PUFAs are important in:

- membrane structure
- growth
- development and function of brain, neural tissue and eye (-> VERY IMPORTANT IN EARLY LIFE)
- regulation of
 - blood pressure
 - platelet function, thrombosis, fibrinolysis
 - blood lipid concentrations
 - vascular function
 - cardiac rhythm
 - inflammation
 - immune response
 - bone health
 - insulin sensitivity

Marine ω -3 PUFAs are or may be protective against:

- hypertension
- hypertriglyceridemia
- thrombosis
- vascular dysfunction
- cardiac arrhythmias
- cardiovascular disease
- inflammatory conditions
- allergic conditions
- immune dysfunction
- insulin resistance
- neurodegenerative diseases of ageing
- bone loss
- some cancers
- psychological and psychiatric disorders

Marine ω-3 PUFAs (ESPECIALLY DHA) promote:

- optimal brain growth & optimal visual and neural function



Summary of the past & present

- Typical intakes of marine ω-3 fatty acids are low in most people, resulting in low status
- Intake and status of marine ω-3 fatty acids can be markedly increased through intake of oily fish or supplements
- Much is known about patterns of incorporation of EPA and DHA in humans
- EPA and DHA act through multiple, increasingly understood, molecular and cellular mechanisms to affect cell and tissue function
- Through these actions marine ω-3 fatty acids act to promote and maintain health and to reduce disease risk
- Marine ω-3 fatty acids are important throughout the life course
- There is robust evidence that long-term intake of marine ω-3 fatty acids reduces risk of coronary heart disease – due to beneficial impacts on a range of risk factors – they have an important role in primary prevention of CHD/CVD
- There is strong evidence from studies conducted pre-2010 for a role for marine ω-3 fatty acids in secondary prevention of CHD – this role is challenged by some recent studies



There is still much to learn about:

- Dose responses and fatty acid interactions
- Mechanisms



- Effects of the different marine ω-3 fatty acids (EPA vs DHA and don't forget DPA)
- The role of chemical formulation
- Differences among population subgroups (sex, age, genetics, disease, physiological state) with regard to ω -3 fatty acid handling, metabolism, and effectiveness
- Why some studies fail to show effects
- More sustainable sources of marine ω -3 fatty acids (algal oils, GMO plants,)
- Whether plant ω -3 fatty acids can have a role

